Overview and Scrutiny Committee



Title of Report:	Monitoring of Community Safety Activities including Western Suffolk Community Safety Partnership		
Report No:	OAS/SE/16/009		
Report to and date:	Overvie Scrutin	ew and y Committee	20 April 2016
Portfolio holder:	Cllr Robert Everitt Portfolio Holder for Families and Communities Tel: 01284 769000 Email: Robert.everitt@westsuffolk.gov.uk		
Lead officer:	Davina Howes Head of Families and Communities Tel: 01284 757070 Email: Davina.howes@westsuffolk.gov.uk		
Purpose of report:	To update the Committee on community safety activity in West Suffolk including the Western Suffolk Community Safety Partnership (WSCSP) from April 2015 to March 2016.		
Recommendation:	Overview and Scrutiny Committee: It is <u>RECOMMENDED</u> that members consider and discuss the information outlined in this report.		
Key Decision: (Check the appropriate box and delete all those that do not apply.)	Is this a Key Decision and, if so, under which definition? Yes, it is a Key Decision - □ No, it is not a Key Decision - ⊠		
Consultation:		The annual monitoring report draws on information from partner agencies relating to community safety issues. Due to the sensitivity some information remains restricted.	
Alternative option(s):		Not required.	

Implications:				
	ncial implications?	Yes ⊠ No □		
Are there any financial implications? If yes, please give details		 Funding for Domestic Homicide Reviews (DHRs) - A decision was agreed through the Strong and Safe Communities Group (SSCG), that DHRs will be funded equally by the statutory partners of the CSP in the area where the review is being conducted. Funding for ECINs (case conferencing management system) A decision was agreed through the SSCG that Suffolk local authorities will contribute £2000 each year until 2017 to enable ECINs to continue to be used. After this date, the Police Athena IT platform will be used. 		
Are there any staffing implications? If yes, please give details		Yes □ No ⊠ •		
Are there any ICT		Yes □ No ⊠		
yes, please give de		•		
Are there any lega implications? If yes details	, please give	 Yes ⋈ No □ Community Safety Partnerships are statutory bodies and are required to carry out a number of statutory duties. S 17 of Crime and Disorder Act requires local authorities to consider crime and disorder in all their functions. 		
Are there any equa		Yes □ No ⊠		
If yes, please give details Risk/opportunity assessment:		(potential hazards or opportunities affecting		
Kisk/ opportunity	assessifient:	corporate, service or project objectives)		
Risk area	Inherent level of risk (before controls)	Controls	Residual risk (after controls)	
Chuanahla af	Low/Medium/ High*	Daview sale of	Low/Medium/ High*	
Strength of partnership working is lost due to changes and reorganisation of key statutory partners	High	Review role of partnership working and ensure all key partners have a key responsibility	Medium	
Return to silo working within partners and withdraw from engagement	Medium	Members and leadership team to encourage and embed partnership working in all areas of business for the council(s)	Low	
Ward(s) affected:		All wards across W	est Suffolk	

Background papers:	The Police and Crime Commissioner	
(all background papers are to be	Plan (2013 -2017):	
published on the website and a link	www.suffolk-pcc.gov.uk	
included)		
Documents attached:	Appendix A – Western Suffolk	
	Community Safety Partnership Project	
	Plan 2015/16	
'	'	

1. Key issues and reasons for recommendation

1.1 Western Suffolk Community Safety Partnership

- 1.1.1 Over the past year, the Western Suffolk Community Safety Partnership (WSCSP) continued to meet and to discharge its statutory duties by carrying out an annual assessment of crime, producing an annual plan and carrying out Domestic Homicide Reviews as required.
- 1.1.2 In May 2015, the WSCSP completed and published its partnership plan and project plan for identified community priorities for 2015/16. The project plan is attached as **Appendix A**. The progress of the identified community concerns will be evaluated at the April meeting of the WSCSP
- 1.1.3 The WSCSP has completed a Domestic Homicide Review (DHR) for the Babergh area. The draft report, compiled by an independent chair commissioned by the Partnership, has been shared with the Chair and has now been submitted to the Home Office for approval. The review findings cannot be published until this approval has been given. The cost of the review will be in the region of £7,000 which will be shared equally among the statutory partners of the WSCSP.
- 1.1.4 The WSCSP commissioned a 'Domestic Abuse round table event' to clarify and coordinate Domestic Abuse activities in Forest Heath and St Edmundsbury areas. The event took place in April 2015. The purpose of this event was to reduce incidences of domestic abuse, respond better and reduce re- offending in West Suffolk. A draft action plan was drawn up, however this coincided with the formation of the Safe and Strong Communities Group (see 1.2) and the identification of domestic abuse as one of the workstreams that required a 'deep dive' exercise. Rather than have duplication of effort, the WSCSP round table action plan was put on hold until the outcome of this exercise are shared. The round table event was a useful mechanism for problem solving and further events may be held to take forward the findings from the 'deep dive' as necessary.

1.2 **Strong and Safe Communities Group (SSCG)**

- 1.2.1 Following a recommendation from the Health and Wellbeing Board (HWB) in February 2015, it was recognised that was a need to have countywide strategic coordination of community safety issues. As a result, the SSCG was formed from a number of partners across Suffolk. The Group's purpose is to:
 - (i) provide a strategic steer and coordination in the key areas for community safety across the county;
 - (ii) reduce duplication; and
 - (iii) ensure that partners share one set of data and intelligence.
- 1.2.2 Chaired by Ian Gallin, the multi agency group has identified four key workstreams on which to focus as they are considered to present the greatest threat, risk and harm to our communities. These four work-streams are:

- (i) domestic abuse;
- (ii) sexual exploitation;(iii) cyber crime; and
- (iv) youth violence and gangs.

A lead from the SSCG has been identified for each workstream and a scoping exercise is underway for each issue which will determine the current intelligence/data situation, what action is being taken already, what else is required and what implications this may highlight. The SSCG reports quarterly to the Health and Wellbeing Board.

1.2.3 Membership of the SSCG includes: District and Borough Councils, Clinical Commissioning Group, Public Health, Police, Youth Offending Service, Adult Safeguarding, Children's safeguarding, Police and Crime Commissioner and chairs of Community Safety Partnerships.

1.3 **Anti Social Behaviour (ASB)**

- 1.3.1 The multi agency ASB group continues to meet fortnightly in the St Edmundsbury area.
- 1.3.2. The group considers only high risk (as identified by a risk assessment matrix), repeat victims or vulnerable victims and each case is managed through the shared case management system. All West Suffolk council services refer cases to this meeting where using ASB legislation is being considered. As a result of continuing ASB issues, a community protection notice warning letter has been recently issued by St Edmundsbury Borough Council and will progress to a full notice if the warning is breached. Breach is a criminal offence and can incur a fixed penalty notice or a fine. The group is also preparing to discuss Suffolk Family Focus cases where ASB is the most dominant feature of the referral.
- Lower level ASB can be referred by members of the community, Police or 1.3.3 elected members. This information is passed to the relevant Families and Communities Officer who works with the community and partners to resolve issues in the first instance or move to refer to the ASB group to consider the use of ASB enforcement legislation.

1.4 **PREVENT**

- 1.4.1 The PREVENT strategy published by the government in 2011 is part of the country's Counter Terrorism strategy called CONTEST. The aim of the strategy is to reduce the threat to the UK from terrorism by stopping people becoming terrorists or supporting terrorism.
- 1.4.2 The PREVENT strategy has three specific strategic objectives;
 - respond to the ideological challenge of terrorism and the threat we face (i) from those who promote it;
 - (ii) prevent people from being drawn into terrorism and ensure that they are given appropriate advice and support;

(iii) work with sectors and institutions where there are risks of radicalisation that we need to address.

In fulfilling the duty in S21 of the Act, it is expected that all specified authorities, of which District/Borough councils are one, will participate fully in the work to prevent people being drawn into terrorism and protecting vulnerable people and/or our national security.

- 1.4.3 Guidance specifically for local authorities was issued by the Home Office which includes:
 - i. In two tier authorities, county and district councils will need to agree proportionate arrangements for sharing the assessment of risk and agreeing local PREVENT action plans.
 - ii. Local authorities will be expected to ensure that all frontline staff have a good understanding of PREVENT and be able to recognise vulnerability to being drawn into terrorism.
 - iii. Local authority staff will be expected to make appropriate referral to Channel (a programme which provides support to individuals who are at risk).
 - iv. Use of Local Authority resources in complying with the duty it is expected that local authorities will ensure that publicly-owned venues and resources do not provide a platform for extremists and are not used to disseminate extremist views.
- 1.4.4. In September 2015 the Home Office made an offer of a grant of up to £10,000 to be made available to each Local Authority to assist the roll out of action plans and enhance awareness raising activities. The grant, which must be spent and activities delivered by 31March 2016 will be paid in arrears. St Edmundsbury registered to receive the grant funding. In kind costs for delivery of awareness raising workshops, will be made to SEBC and this funding will be ring fenced for use in strengthening families and communities work as per the Families and Communities strategy.
- 1.4.5 A countywide operational group agreed to pool some of the funding to reduce duplication of effort, ensure consistency of delivery across the county and to enable action to be taken within the tight timescale set by the government. The group coordinated the submissions for funding for projects across the areas.
- 1.4.6 The following projects have been commissioned and delivered for the St Edmundsbury area:
 - i. Awareness raising Home Office training sessions for internal and commissioned services frontline staff. (x13)
 - ii. Participation in a countywide awareness raising conference for statutory and voluntary partners, held 22/3/2016.

- iii. Young People's DVD talking heads DVD of Suffolk Young People talking about radicalisation, belonging, sense of belonging, being British (available on www.ltai.info the 'Let's Talk About it' website).
- iv. Dialogue conference and Materials for education settings, developing materials to share concerns about radicalisation, world events, being British, sharing solutions and ideas. St Benedict's Upper School in Bury St Edmunds volunteered to be involved in this project.
- v. Public poster to raise awareness has been developed.
- vi. A bespoke training session has been delivered in Bury St Edmunds and Newmarket for twelve people who have either learning difficulties, mental ill health or are low level learners.